

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,706	09/26/2003	August Scherer	P2002,0797	2449
24131	7590 12/14/2004	·	EXAMINER	
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			ABRAMS, NEIL	
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	<b>-, </b>		2839	
			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			AU			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/673,706	SCHERER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Neil Abrams	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply	V 10 0ET TO EVDIDE 6.	AONTHON FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a coly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	•	•	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.			•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		·			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	_					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P1	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		n received in this National	Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ol>	<del></del>	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		,			

Art Unit: 2839

Drasings objected to; in fig. 2, 4, the latches 9 seem to be on the incorrect side; in fig. 1 where are the latches? Compare to LIU at 392; the fig. 3, "underlays' 8 are confusingly shown and just how these would aid resistlency of parts 5 is unclear. Fig. 2, where are the underlays?

Claim 2 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. These claims are based on non-enabling disclosure for reasons set forth above.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claims 2 and 8 features in an experiment must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for

Art Unit: 2839

consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Note that this would include handles for the latches.

In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Marked-up Drawings" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

Claims 1 and 3-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Allirot.

Liu discloses a slide in module 40 (Fig. 1) with rails 10 having plastic elements 30 with resilient laterally movable portions 392 that form latches and also would help to center the modules. Liu lacks resilient metal elements "enclosing" the plastic members and having resilient parts that interact with guide means to help retain the module in place. Allirot at 30, figs. 6, 7, shows such a metal part on rails. Obvious to use such type metal elements on the Liu plastic members 30.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/673,706

Art Unit: 2839

This would provide a strong connection to the plastic elements. Since the metal elements 30 (20 of Liu) act frictionally on the guides they help to retain the module.

Claims 3, 8, 9 also met by the combination. For claims 4, 5, obvious to form element 30 with multiple (four) resilient parts and (two) studs 27. These changes provide redundant connections hence more reliability. Claims 6, 7 are treated as obvious variations since not directed to basic inventive concept.

Also note Allirod latches 15, obvious should issue arise, to use this type in Liu.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Neil Abrams at telephone number (571)272-2089.

Abrams/ds

12/08/04

ART UNIT 322